

# Venus's Retrograde Rotation: Leading Theories and Evidence

Scientists have proposed several ideas to explain why Venus spins so slowly *backward* (retrograde) compared to most planets. One leading hypothesis is that an early **giant impact** flipped Venus over. In this scenario, a Mars-sized body collided with young Venus, changing its spin direction and slowing it to the observed 243-day period <sup>1</sup>. Computer simulations support this: for example, Agnor & Hamilton (2006) showed a sufficiently large glancing blow could reverse Venus's rotation without requiring an enormous Moon-forming event <sup>2</sup>. However, Venus today has no large moon and no clear impact scar. As one review notes, "**Venus lacks a large impact basin or obvious surface evidence**" of a cataclysm <sup>3</sup>. (The absence of a moon suggests either that a collision did not form a satellite, or that any satellite was later lost <sup>4</sup>.) In short, a giant-collision origin remains plausible in theory, but direct geological evidence is lacking.

Another class of theories involves **tidal forces from the Sun and Venus's dense atmosphere**. Solar gravity raises a bulge on Venus ("body tide") that tends to despin the planet toward synchronous rotation. But Venus has an extraordinarily thick CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere, and the Sun's heating creates powerful *thermal atmospheric tides*. These atmospheric bulges lead the Sun's position and produce a torque **opposite** to the gravity tide <sup>5</sup>. In effect, the two torques can balance in a non-intuitive way: detailed models show that Venus's spin can settle into one of two stable states (one prograde, one retrograde) instead of simply locking like the Moon does with Earth <sup>6</sup> <sup>5</sup>. For Venus's parameters, the retrograde equilibrium matches the observed 243-day backward spin. In this picture, no giant impact is needed – Venus's backward spin is a natural outcome of the Sun-atmosphere dance over billions of years.

Many researchers now favor **hybrid or evolutionary models** that combine these ideas. For example, Venus could have suffered a moderate impact that gave it an initial tilt and slower spin, and then solar and atmospheric torques gradually brought it into the precise retrograde state we see today <sup>1</sup> <sup>7</sup>. Recent secular-evolution simulations show that *even without any collision*, a Venus-like planet with a large initial tilt will naturally evolve to a retrograde rotation through gravitational and thermal tides <sup>8</sup>. In other words, chaotic obliquity changes, core-mantle friction, and planetary perturbations can flip Venus's spin over time <sup>7</sup> <sup>9</sup>. Modern models therefore suggest that Venus's present spin is a kind of equilibrium reached under a complex mix of forces – so the ultimate cause may be a combination of early impacts **and/or** sustained tidal evolution.

## Evidence from Missions and Modeling

- **Spacecraft observations:** Data from NASA's Magellan radar mapper (1990s) and ESA's Venus Express orbiter (2006–2014) provide precise measurements of Venus's rotation. A Venus Express study of infrared surface images found a sidereal rotation period of about 243.023±0.002 days, slightly longer than the classic Magellan value <sup>10</sup>. Over the 16-year span of those missions, the apparent shift of fixed surface features was up to ~20 km, implying the day lengthened by several minutes <sup>11</sup>. This confirms that Venus's spin rate is not perfectly constant – likely varying slowly with atmospheric

weather cycles – but these observations only describe *how* the spin changes today, not *why* it ended up retrograde.

- **Computer simulations:** Detailed models complement the observations. Impact simulations (e.g. Marchi et al. 2023) show that a late, energetic collision could impart a fast post-impact spin (~24 hours in one scenario) that would then be braked by tides to the current 243-day retrograde period <sup>12</sup>. Other studies explicitly combine solar tides, atmospheric tides, and planetary perturbations: they find that a Venus-like planet will tend toward specific end-states under these forces <sup>9</sup>. Revol et al. (2023) used an “ESPEM” dynamical model and confirmed that gravitational and thermal tides alone can evolve Venus to a backward spin if it starts with high obliquity <sup>8</sup>. In summary, modern simulations demonstrate that *both* giant impacts and slow tidal/atmospheric evolution are physically capable of producing a slow retrograde spin, so the real Solar System history must be inferred from indirect clues.

## Current Understanding and Consensus

Scientists emphasize that **no single explanation has been confirmed**. A recent survey of ideas noted that the cause of Venus’s retrograde spin “remains a mystery,” with both collision and tidal scenarios still on the table <sup>13</sup> <sup>7</sup>. Venus’s lack of a moon and paucity of ancient craters (its surface was largely reset a few hundred million years ago <sup>14</sup>) means there is no obvious “smoking gun” for a giant strike. Conversely, theoretical work shows that atmospheric tides *can* produce the observed retrograde equilibrium without any impact <sup>7</sup> <sup>5</sup>.

Today most experts expect that **multiple factors played a role**. In other words, Venus’s backward spin is likely the result of a complex, multi-stage history. For example, some scientists suggest a moderate oblique impact gave Venus an initial tilt, and then steady solar tides plus the atmosphere’s torque locked in the final rotation <sup>1</sup> <sup>9</sup>. Others lean toward a purely tidal story, pointing out that the thick atmosphere makes Venus’s spin state very sensitive to solar heating <sup>5</sup> <sup>8</sup>. The balance of evidence has not clearly favored one side. As the expert Jacques Laskar has written, the unusual rotation can be reached from a wide range of starting conditions under tidal forces alone <sup>7</sup>.

In practice, no dominant theory has yet **emerged**. The consensus view is that Venus’s odd spin is understandable in principle but may stem from a combination of influences. Future missions like NASA’s DAVINCI and VERITAS – which will map Venus’s interior and rotation with unprecedented detail – may provide new clues. For now, the backward rotation of Venus is generally seen as an equilibrium outcome of its atmospheric dynamics, solar tides, interior friction *and/or* ancient collisions <sup>1</sup> <sup>5</sup>, rather than a mystery solved by a single event.

**Sources:** Recent reviews and studies discuss these ideas in detail <sup>1</sup> <sup>5</sup> <sup>9</sup> <sup>8</sup>. (Mission results are drawn from Venus Express and Magellan analyses <sup>11</sup> <sup>10</sup>.)

---

<sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> <sup>3</sup> <sup>13</sup> The Mysterious Reversal and Slowing of Venus’ Rotation: Cataclysmic Impact or Tidal Evolution? | by Boris (Bruce) Kriger | GLOBAL SCIENCE NEWS | Medium

<https://medium.com/global-science-news/the-mysterious-reversal-and-slowing-of-venus-rotation-cataclysmic-impact-or-tidal-evolution-c5197c0124c4>

4 9 12 Long-lived volcanic resurfacing of Venus driven by early collisions

<https://people.earth.yale.edu/sites/default/files/marchi23.pdf>

5 Venus' rotation and atmospheric tides - NASA Technical Reports Server (NTRS)

<https://ntrs.nasa.gov/citations/19780066370>

6 7 Jacques Laskar • The retrograde rotation of Venus

<https://perso.imcce.fr/jacques-laskar/en/general-audience/venus-spins-backward/>

8 [2303.00084] Spin evolution of Venus-like planets subjected to gravitational and thermal tides

<https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.00084>

10 ESA Science & Technology - Rotation period of Venus estimated from Venus Express VIRTIS images and Magellan altimetry

<https://sci.esa.int/web/venus-express/-/49952-mueller-n-t-et-al-2012>

11 ESA Science & Technology - Shift in Venus surface features

<https://sci.esa.int/web/venus-express/-/50018-shift-in-venus-surface-features>

14 Venus: Facts - NASA Science

<https://science.nasa.gov/venus/venus-facts/>